

President Biden's student debt relief plan will change lives and open doors for so many working families.

It is urgent that the Supreme Court uphold this critical program that will free millions of Americans from this heavy financial burden. So today I call on the Supreme Court to support President Biden's plan and make the historic step in making higher education attainable for everyone in this country.

#### INSTITUTES OF HIGHER EDUCATION MUST COUNSEL STUDENTS REGARDING STUDENT LOAN DEBT

(Mrs. MILLER-MEEKS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. MILLER-MEEKS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to address the growing student loan debt in our Nation. Despite efforts by President Biden and the Democrats to forgive student loans, they are still a major financial commitment and should be treated as such.

Before taking out Federal student loans, proper counseling for students should be required. We must ensure that our students are equipped with the guidance needed to make an informed decision and that they understand the process through which they pay these loans back.

This week I reintroduced the Know Before You Owe Federal Student Loan Act with Representatives Feenstra, Hinson, Nunn, and Guest to require institutes of higher education to counsel students before any Federal loans are disbursed. This counseling would also require students to manually enter the exact dollar amount of funding requested and require that students receive regular loan statements throughout their undergraduate career.

If we can help our students understand the process by which they receive Federal students loans and the interest, we will be one step closer to helping to relieve them of their debt through their career choices—unlike President Biden's loan which claims to erase these loans.

Unfortunately, we can't just erase loans taken out. They must be paid back, just like a car loan or a mortgage. Erasing would only transfer the debt to other hardworking taxpayers.

#### HOLDING RAILROAD CARRIERS ACCOUNTABLE

(Ms. GARCIA of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. GARCIA of Texas. Mr. Speaker, we feel the pain of the people of East Palestine. We stand with them in demands for change in safety in the railroad industry.

It is not just what trains carry. It is their weight, it is their length, it is their mechanics, it is the number of

people on the train, and it is about damage to the neighborhoods and the environment.

Mr. Speaker, my district has the most reported roads blocked by trains of any district in the Nation. This means that the trains disrupt a lot of activity in our neighborhoods, and we get many complaints about blocked crossings blocking kids getting to school, fire trucks and ambulances not being able to get to buildings and to homes, and just a lot of damage done to our neighborhoods.

So the trains are not just about the derailment of East Palestine, but it is also about the damage they do every day particularly, again, in my district that has so many railroads.

I know, Mr. Speaker, that you know about this.

What we will be doing today and the following weeks is to focus more on rail safety. I had the FRA administrator in the district last week, and I am pleased to say that today we will be filing a bill called Don't Block Our Communities Act to address some of these issues.

#### HONORING BEYONCE KNOWLES CARTER

(Mr. ROBERT GARCIA of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ROBERT GARCIA of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the end of Black History Month and the beginning of Women's History Month by honoring an individual who represents both so well.

She is an icon, she is a legend, and she is now—and forever—the moment.

I want to celebrate none other than who I believe is the undisputed queen of pop and R&B, Beyonce Knowles Carter.

A few weeks ago, this Brown skin girl out of H-Town won her 32nd Grammy, giving her the most Grammy wins of all time.

But Beyonce is so much more than a performer and a singer. She is a creator and an artist. When the radio said to speed it up, she went slower.

I will never forget the time I saw Destiny's Child perform for the very first time. It was life changing for me and for the way I experience music. I became an instant fan then and have been a huge fan ever since.

Beyonce is also a role model for millions across the country. She stood up for voting rights, for feminism, for women and girls, and for my community—the LGBTQ+ community. For my generation and so many others, she simply is the greatest of all time.

Her story is history.

Mr. Speaker, I congratulate Mrs. Carter on her achievements and for winning the most Grammys ever in the history of our country. You are irreplaceable.

#### REDUCE EXACERBATED INFLATION NEGATIVELY IMPACTING THE NATION ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PERRY). Pursuant to House Resolution 166 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for further consideration of the bill, H.R. 347.

Will the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BABIN) kindly take the chair.

□ 0911

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 347) to require the Executive Office of the President to provide an inflation estimate with respect to Executive orders with a significant effect on the annual gross budget, and for other purposes, with Mr. BABIN (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Tuesday, February 28, 2023, amendment No. 10 printed in House Report 118-4 offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. LANGWORTHY) had been disposed of.

AMENDMENT NO. 11 OFFERED BY MS. OMAR

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 11 printed in House Report 118-4.

Ms. OMAR. Mr. Speaker, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 2, line 14, after the period, insert the following: "In estimating the inflationary effects of any major Executive order under this subsection, the President, Director, and Chair shall consider the factors described in subsection (d).".

Page 3, after line 2, insert the following:

(d) FACTORS.—The factors described in this subsection are the following:

(1) BENEFITS.—With respect to benefits provided by the applicable major Executive order, the total annual economic value of—

(A) personal consumption expenditures, net of investments, and defensive spending;

(B) the purchase of consumer durables and other household durables used for home improvement, including appliances, vehicles, and solar panels;

(C) publicly provided goods and services;

(D) higher education;

(E) job skills that are essential to an economy that—

(i) is self-sufficient; and

(ii) addresses ecological scarcities and directs resources to sustainable development without degrading the environment;

(F) time spent toward leisure activities;

(G) unpaid labor, including—

(i) parenting;

(ii) volunteering; and

(iii) time spent on household duties;

(H) infrastructure, including—

(i) transportation systems;

(ii) communication networks; and

(iii) sewage, water, and electric systems; and

(I) ecosystem services with respect to protected natural areas, including—

(i) flood control;  
 (ii) water purification;  
 (iii) pollination of crops;  
 (iv) control of pests and invasive species;  
 (v) outdoor recreation;  
 (vi) hunting and fishing;  
 (vii) harvesting of plants for medicinal and edible purposes;  
 (viii) carbon sequestration; and  
 (ix) maintenance of biological and genetic diversity.

(2) **COSTS.**—With respect to costs of the applicable major Executive order, the total annual economic costs of—

(A) income inequality based on household expenditures;

(B) underemployment and unemployment;

(C) homelessness;

(D) domestic abuse;

(E) violent, property, white-collar, and organized crime;

(F) water, air, and noise pollution at the household and national level;

(G) the loss of farmland and productive soils, including soil quality degradation;

(H) the loss of natural wetlands, primary forest area, and other at-risk ecosystems;

(I) high amounts of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions;

(J) the depletion of the ozone layer;

(K) the depletion of nonrenewable sources of energy;

(L) lost leisure time due to traffic congestion; and

(M) accidents involving motor vehicles.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 166, the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. OMAR) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Minnesota.

Ms. OMAR. Mr. Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chair, I rise today to call on Congress to take up economic measurement tools that will uplift all Americans.

My amendment would add factors from the genuine progress indicator to register budgetary reporting. GPI would supplement the information we get from traditional measures like GDP, which mainly emphasizes growth for its own sake.

GPI would provide a more accurate and inclusive assessment of economic well-being. It evaluates the positive and negative factors of economic activity ranging from the benefits of infrastructure and workforce development to the process costs of income inequality and pollution on our collective well-being.

It would give us the chance to finally account for important but overlooked aspects of society such as wealth distribution, economic sustainability, and the overall quality of life for everyday Americans.

We must recognize that collective prosperity is only attainable if we identify the gaps and barriers preventing our most vulnerable communities from thriving.

My amendment simply seeks to give lawmakers more comprehensive data so that we can make more informed policy decisions.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to vote for this amendment in order to focus our policy lens on the lives of working and poor families in America.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 0915

Mr. COMER. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. COMER. Mr. Chairman, my colleague's amendment, unlike some others offered, would not eliminate requirements for inflation-impacted assessments. What it would do is overburden the assessments with a host of issues that do not have much to do with inflation.

What are those issues? The list is quite extensive, but let me highlight a few. There are the annual economic values of publicly provided goods and services, higher education, and time spent on leisure activities and outdoor recreation. There are the annual economic costs of lost leisure time due to traffic congestion, accidents involving motor vehicles, and the depletion of the ozone layer.

In other words, inflation would no longer be the bill's focus. Under this amendment, it would just be one factor among many other things, but that is how we got to where we are. Inflation is running rampant precisely because the administration is ignoring the inflationary impact of its policies, and it is ignoring the deep harm that inflation is inflicting on the American people. That is why inflation should be the focus of this bill.

Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. OMAR. Mr. Chairman, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. RASKIN).

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chairman, I thank the distinguished gentlewoman from Minnesota for yielding.

Just to recap where we are from yesterday, the whole Congress eagerly awaited to hear what the big anti-inflation initiative would be coming from the GOP side of the aisle. In the past, Richard Nixon had offered wage and price controls. Herbert Hoover, of course, had dismantled all social spending. What was going to be the big plan coming from the Republican side? The big plan is to ask for the President of the United States, when he issues executive orders, to add inflation estimates.

Of course, there is no study showing that executive orders have had any impact on inflation or deflation in the country, so it seems now we are on a real wild goose chase where people are pasting all different kinds of things on it.

The gentlewoman from Minnesota actually comes forward with a very interesting idea, which would be a wonderful thing to talk about if we had a real hearing in the Oversight Committee about the subject. What she is saying is that a number of States, including my

State, Maryland, have adopted the genuine progress indicator as a real index of social and economic well-being in their communities.

What this does is it doesn't count negative things like the costs of car accidents and asbestos poisoning as part of GDP. Right now, there are so many negative things that are included as part of GDP. The genuine progress indicator has, I believe, 26 different factors that measure actual progress in social and economic well-being.

If we are going to go down this road without a hearing, without any real analysis, and this is going to be the majority's approach to dealing with inflation, then, by all means, let's include the genuine progress indicator.

Mr. COMER. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. OMAR. Mr. Chairman, as my colleague on the other side of the aisle admittedly said, this is just another factor that gives us more tools, more ability to fully comprehend what is happening with our economy.

Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to support this amendment and vote "yes."

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. OMAR). The amendment was rejected.

AMENDMENT NO. 12 OFFERED BY MR. PERRY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 12 printed in House Report 118-4.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 3, line 11, strike "\$1,000,000,000" and insert "\$1,000,000".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 166, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. PERRY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chairman, the underlying bill requires the President to have prepared for the American people and consider the inflation impacts of all major executive orders. This provides transparency to the American people of the economic impacts of such executive orders.

At the Rules Committee last night, the minority raised concerns that these requirements would apply to a limited number of circumstances. This amendment actually appeases these concerns by lowering the threshold at which an executive order is considered major for the requirements of the bill from \$1 billion to \$1 million.

This reduced threshold will ensure the President is required to assess the inflationary impacts of significantly more executive orders than the underlying bill would require, which actually increases the amount of transparency provided by this bill.

I am sure the minority would agree with increased transparency. They

asked for it just last night. They asked for it just in the last debate over the last amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I am sure we have all heard from our constituents about the impact of inflation. This amendment allows us to expand our efforts to address their concerns.

While some of my friends on the other side of the aisle might say, well, we need a different index, or we need an additional index, here is what the American people don't need: They don't need some report of progress or you name whatever you want to name it.

What the American people know is this: When they go to the store, everything they are buying costs more. It is unaffordable. When they go to the gas station to try to fill up their tank, it costs them more. When they go to the lumberyard, when they go to the bank, when they try to buy a new home, everything costs more.

They don't need some index to tell them that the cost of living is going up and something is causing it, and one of the things is this. Regardless of which party is in power in the executive branch, executive orders would maybe actually reduce the cost of inflation. We need to know that, too. We just want to know what the answer is regardless of which way. This amendment would provide for that.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Maryland is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chairman, now we move from the ludicrous to the absurd to the sublime.

Yesterday, they wanted a report from the President upon issuance of an executive order for executive orders having an inflationary impact or having an economic impact of \$1 billion. Today, they have gone to one one-thousandth of that. They want a report for every \$1 million.

The gentleman points out that I observed yesterday that it would apply to only a handful of executive orders, which is absolutely right. I wasn't arguing or wasn't concerned, as he said, that it applies to too few cases. I was just reflecting about how silly the whole exercise was.

They didn't even seem to understand how few executive orders it would apply to, just like they forgot to put into the legislation a requirement that it actually be published, something that was remedied yesterday in the Boebert amendment.

In any event, Mr. Chairman, now they want an inflationary estimate statement when there is an executive order that has \$1 million economic impact, which, by my quick calculation here, is three one-hundred-thousandths of 1 percent of the \$26 trillion U.S. economy. It is a fraction of the budget of the Oversight Committee itself. We

may as well be saying we should register what the inflationary impact is of the majority and minority budgets in the Oversight Committee.

Obviously, this is an exercise in futility, in silliness. They are finger painting on their own legislation, which itself is not based on any legislative process, based on any hearing, and it obviously does nothing to reduce inflation.

That, however, is what this administration has been working on. Of course, they don't talk about unemployment anymore, which they used to talk about, because President Biden's administration created 12 million new jobs, whereas the last President destroyed millions of jobs. The economy has come roaring back under the Biden administration, just like the Biden administration is actually bringing inflation down.

Example: Check out the Inflation Reduction Act. Everybody who is on insulin in America under the Medicare program is now paying only \$35 a month. Now, we know that they opposed that. We know they wanted to repeal that provision. I think they still do want to repeal that provision, but that was a very concrete action, to lower prescription drug prices for diabetics within the Medicare program. They have been lowered across the board within the Medicare program.

That is the kind of specific programmatic action that the Biden administration has undertaken, not a silly reporting bill, which some days is applying to a billion dollars, some days it is applying to a million dollars. There is no rhyme or reason to what they are doing.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chair, I will just say this. I am not from Maryland, and I don't live around the beltway here, where everything is just fine. I live up in Pennsylvania, where \$1 billion or \$1 million is a lot of money to hard-working people who get up in the dark of night and head out to work. A million dollars is a lot of money, and they would like to know where we are spending it here.

Mr. Chair, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. COMER), the chairman of the committee.

Mr. COMER. Mr. Chair, I rise in support of the Perry amendment.

My colleague's amendment extends the bill's coverage to executive orders with annual impacts of \$1 million or more. This makes sure inflation assessments will be prepared for most executive orders.

This is not an undue burden on the President. Even at President Biden's relatively blistering pace, he has issued only 107 executive orders over more than 2 years.

I submit that, with today's sky-high inflation continuing and with no clear end in sight, it is important that the inflationary impacts of most of Presi-

dent Biden's executive orders should be assessed. If my colleague's amendment is adopted, they will be.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chair, may I inquire as to the time remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Pennsylvania has 2 minutes remaining. The gentleman from Maryland has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chairman, I don't know that there is much left to say on the substance of this amendment.

I did hear my colleague from Pennsylvania make some sort of disparaging remark about Maryland and about how he didn't live in Maryland, where, apparently, we don't understand the value of money.

Well, the land where we actually are standing today used to be part of Maryland. It was ceded by Maryland to Congress for the purpose of creating the District of Columbia.

When our Capitol came under attack by violent insurrectionists and those who were chanting "hang Mike Pence" and who were determined to overthrow the 2020 Presidential election, there were hundreds of police officers who came from Maryland to join the Metropolitan Police Department and the Capitol Police officers in defense of the Capitol of the United States.

I take umbrage at any insinuation that the people of Maryland need to take a back seat to anybody in terms of the defense of the principles of this country. I would thank Mr. PERRY for a correction about that.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chair, let me just say this. There were no disparaging remarks about Maryland, only the fact that people in Pennsylvania understand the value of \$1 million or \$1 billion, and they want to know how it is being spent in Washington, D.C. It is their government. The citizens of the United States, it is their government, and it is their tax money.

This amendment seeks to provide that transparency so that they know the effect of executive orders coming out of the White House, how it affects their wallet. They should know that. We should all be for that.

If you want to discuss the Inflation Reduction Act, you can call any bill here anything you want to. You can call it kids are beautiful and the Sun is going to shine today. But here is what I know: In central Pennsylvania, where I live, the good citizens that I represent are paying \$5, \$6, \$7 for a dozen eggs. They are paying \$6, \$7 for a pound of hamburger. They can't afford to drive to work. They can't afford to pay their energy bills. They are having a hard time paying their mortgage.

That is inflation, sir. That is inflation, to the good gentleman from Maryland. Part of that is caused by the White House's edicts that impose

things on the American people. They want to know and have the right to know what that is so they can inform their votes.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chairman, yes, the prices of housing are too high, and the prices of groceries are too high. That is why the administration is working concretely to lower prices and why inflation is coming down now across the board.

What do we get from the majority today? They want a reporting bill about the inflationary impact in executive orders, nothing even about what Congress is doing and how Congress is behaving and contributing to inflation. They want to somehow add a technical reporting requirement for executive orders and think that is accomplishing something.

The administration is lowering the cost of student debt despite the fact that they are doing everything they can to stop it. The administration is acting to lower housing prices across the country. We have moved to lower prescription drug prices and healthcare across the country. They have been fighting us every step along the way. Instead, they come back with this reporting bill, which, again, will do nothing to help the fight against inflation.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

□ 0930

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. PERRY).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 13 OFFERED BY MR. PERRY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 13 printed in House Report 118-4.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 2, line 11, after "index", insert the following: "(including a detailed description of such impact)".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 166, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. PERRY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chair, as we all should know by now, this bill requires the President to consider for any major executive order the impact of the executive order on inflation. I mean, the President is the President of the United States and the well-being of every citizen should be of the President's concern, and I believe it is.

When the American people have been suffering this inflation for years, it makes sense to require the President, no matter which party, to at least consider the impact of his actions on the

American people, because they don't have any choice in the matter till the next election.

This amendment requires for executive orders that are found to have an impact on the Consumer Price Index—we have got to have some measure, right? Most people recognize the Consumer Price Index—a detailed description of that impact so that we can all be on the same page and we can all reference the same data point.

Folks, this is common sense, and it is reasonable. The way this bill is currently written, I support the bill. The statement prepared by the President must simply include whether it has an impact on inflation and maybe the impact is to lower inflation. That would be awesome.

I think we are going to have to wait a couple years until we get a President that actually does that. So be it, we will accept that, even this legislation under a new President that lowers the cost of inflation by executive order.

The current bill doesn't talk about the extent of the impact, which is what this amendment seeks to remedy. This amendment requires that statement to provide actual information on the extent of the impact regarding the Consumer Price Index.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I urge adoption of this amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Maryland is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chair, I am afraid I remain unilluminated as to what that amendment will do. Apparently, the purpose is to require a more detailed or technical description of the projected impact, or when an assessment required by the bill finds that there will be some inflationary effect.

Again, this sounds like it is simply adding more bureaucracy, more paperwork with no return on investment for the taxpayer dollars that it would obviously take to conduct such an analysis. I mean, here we have gone for more than two centuries with apparently no economist arguing that what we really need to stop inflation is more reporting in the process of issuance of executive orders by Presidents of the United States.

Suddenly, somebody had a great epiphany over there, without even a legislative hearing, that what was really needed was just for the President of the United States to append an inflationary statement to executive orders at the rate of a billion dollars, perhaps to be amended to a million dollars.

Who knows if it is 50 million or 100 million, but it doesn't make any difference because there is no data behind any of it. There is no analysis. You may as well spin a wheel and pick a number at which a report is going to be compelled by the majority here for the so-called REIN IN Act, which stands for the Reduce Exacerbated Inflation Negatively Impacting the Nation Act.

You could go by other titles, including the running on empty index, no new ideas, none act, since basically they are scraping the bottom to try to figure out something to say about inflation, because the administration is actually bringing inflation down.

Now, we notice they don't talk about unemployment, which used to be their mantra: jobs, jobs, jobs. But when Joe Biden came back and created 12 million new jobs after the last administration destroyed millions of jobs with their lethal recklessness in the mismanagement of the coronavirus pandemic and Joe Biden turned it around in this administration, they stopped talking about it.

However, they did notice that there was global inflation going on because of the disruption of the global supply chain and because of Vladimir Putin's filthy, imperialist invasion of Ukraine, which some of their Members actually are cheerleaders for, then there was a real problem with inflation.

The administration has steadily been bringing it down, which is why it doesn't have quite the political salience it used to, but the world was waiting with bated breath to determine what their actual plan would be and, alas, their whole plan is a reporting requirement. Nothing to do with Congress and Congress being able to do anything, but a reporting requirement for the President when he issues executive orders.

I think the public is gravely disappointed by this complete collapse of any real commitment to the one issue they thought they had organized their conference around.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chair, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. COMER), chairman of the House Oversight Committee.

Mr. COMER. Mr. Chair, I rise in support of the Perry amendment.

The one thing that has become clearly obvious to me, Mr. Chairman, is the fact that my friends on the other side of the aisle, they have no idea how much inflation this administration's policies have created for the American people.

That is the perfect reason why we need to support this bill, as amended; if for no other reason, so we can help our friends on the other side of the aisle have some type of measurement so they can see how damaging their policies and their out-of-control spending has been on everyday, average Americans when they go to the grocery store, when they fill up their gas tank, when they try to pay their rents now.

My colleague's amendment is a wise one. This bill requires inflation impact assessments to be prepared for the President's executive orders, but as we all know, the executive branch routinely does as little as possible to comply with assessment and reporting requirements Congress imposes on it.

This amendment makes sure the executive branch will include in its inflation impact assessments detailed descriptions of the effects the President's executive orders have on inflation, not just back-of-the-envelope sketches.

In other words, it makes sure the executive branch will comply with the spirit of the bill, not just its letter.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on the Perry amendment.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chair, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Pennsylvania has 1½ minutes remaining.

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Chairman, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. RASKIN), my friend, talks about increased bureaucracy, the increased bureaucracy of informing the American people.

My goodness. My goodness. I have never heard that from my friends on the other side of the aisle, "increased bureaucracy." I mean, all they do around here is infuse more government into our lives with every single thing they do.

The gentleman talks about the medical situation and price-fixing. He doesn't call it price-fixing, but that is what it is. It is price-fixing. More bureaucracy taking more drugs off the market, more lifesaving research off the market, but they are good with that.

They talk about 12 million new jobs, but don't talk about the fact that in one of the reporting periods a million jobs were created but then it was only months later we find out that only 10,000 were created.

Oh, and that first report? Right before the election. Interesting how that happened.

He doesn't want to talk about that or the workforce participation rate. He talks about lowering inflation. You can talk about that all you want to but people that pay for things don't experience it. So you can say it is true, but the reality is that it is not true. All these years he has been saying it is unnecessary to do this.

My goodness. My good friend from Maryland is a member of the legislature. You would think he would want to preserve the power of the legislature instead of handing it to the executive branch, which is what this place has done for years upon years.

Now we have a chance, and my friend wants to hand yet more power to the executive branch instead of preserving the power of the branch that he serves in.

Mr. Chairman, I urge adoption of the amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chairman, one can only regard with amazement the gentleman's insinuation that I want to hand power to the executive branch when we have been acting here in Congress to pass the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act and to pass the Inflation Reduction Act in the last Congress.

All of the measures they oppose, we moved in order to make real economic progress in the country. Congress was doing that.

They have a big opportunity today to come forward with what their anti-inflation agenda is. Their whole anti-inflation agenda is: we are going to beg the President of the United States to append some inflation numbers to an executive order, to a handful of executive orders over the course of the year.

The gentleman also, I think, slipped in his opposition to our legislation, which reduces to \$35 a month what diabetics have to pay for their insulin shots. He calls this price-fixing.

My friend from Pennsylvania is invited to contradict me if I misunderstood him. I think he was describing all of the lowering of prescription drug prices we have done.

We are saving millions of Americans across the country thousands of dollars in their Medicare prescription drug prices, and the gentleman just called that price-fixing. I assume he is opposed to it.

Mr. Chair, I am happy to yield, if he would like to correct me, but otherwise I am going to go back with the conclusion that you are opposed to all of the lowering of the prescription drug prices that the Congress actually engaged in in the 117th Congress.

Finally, the gentleman would like to somehow put in our court the burden of bureaucracy.

Well, let's talk about the major bureaucracy that is being put in place in America today to violate the rights and the freedom of women to make their own medical decisions as they try to criminalize that.

I don't know exactly where the gentleman is—perhaps he can clarify it—most of them support a national ban on abortion, taking what was a constitutional right for more than a half century and turning it into a felony criminal offense or a misdemeanor criminal offense.

You want to talk about bureaucracy? You want to talk about police state? That is on you.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. PERRY).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 14 OFFERED BY MR. ROY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 14 printed in House Report 118-4.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 3, beginning on line 11, strike " , but " and all that follows through "Tribes" on line 24.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 166, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ROY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to offer an amendment to this underlying piece of legislation to ensure that it applies to everything. I don't believe that we should be in the business of exempting certain executive orders. I think they should apply across the board.

My amendment would strike the exceptions to the bill's inflation estimates for executive orders that provide emergency assistance or relief or related to national security.

I don't believe that we should be pulling out of the calculation those executive orders that touch on national security simply because, frankly, often my colleagues on both sides of the aisle want to be able to use "emergency" for all manner of sins, and they want to be able to use the Defense Department to hide behind all manner of sins and expenditures.

The underlying bill is actually an important piece of legislation, despite what my colleague from Maryland is saying.

Why? Because the executive orders being offered by this administration, and frankly by many administrations, do have an actual and significant inflationary impact.

□ 0945

We are allowing the executive branch to run amuck. We are allowing the executive branch to essentially legislate and make massive policies that have an enormous impact on everyday, hard-working American people.

That is why this legislation is important. Unlike our colleagues on the other side of the aisle who like to use the power of government to be able to actually put gasoline on the fire of inflation by spending more money, by engaging government into the business of the American people, we want to be able to look at information about what government is doing to cause the problem in the first place.

For example, the President's executive order on vaccine mandates. You don't believe that had a massive inflationary impact to go around this country, forcing people to stick a needle in their arm or lose their job, causing all sorts of constraints in labor supply, making it difficult for people to carry out their jobs?

You don't believe that the executive orders on minimum wage, the executive orders on the Keystone pipeline, and other limitations on Federal oil and gas leases, the executive orders with respect to WOTUS and NEPA and all sorts of environmental rules and regulations that restrict the ability of the American people to create wealth, create jobs, create opportunities; you don't believe those create inflationary impact?

Of course they do. Our job in Congress is to check the executive branch. Our job in Congress is to stand up for the American people and get the government out of their lives.

This amendment is designed to make sure that we are going to apply it equally to all manners of the executive orders produced by the President, regardless of party. We believe that it is critically important.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR: The gentleman from Maryland is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. RASKIN. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES), the distinguished minority leader.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Mr. Chair, I thank the distinguished gentleman from the great State of Maryland for his tremendous leadership.

I rise today in opposition to the amendment, as well as to the underlying bill, the so-called REIN ACT, which is not really designed to do anything meaningful in terms of addressing the economic concerns of the American people.

That is consistent with the fact that over the last 2 months of this extreme MAGA Republican majority, they have been focused on doing anything but dealing with the real kitchen-table pocketbook concerns of the American people.

Over the last year or so, all we heard was that this extreme MAGA Republican majority was going to try to address the economic concerns of everyday Americans.

So we have been waiting and waiting and waiting for the big, grand Republican plan—waiting for it, notwithstanding the fact that President Biden's administration has done a tremendous job pulling us out of a once-in-a-century pandemic, and in partnership with Democrats through the American Rescue Plan, saved the economy from a deep recession, put shots in arms, money in pockets, kids back in school, invested in the infrastructure of this country, which will create millions of good-paying jobs; passed the CHIPS and Science Act to bring domestic manufacturing jobs back home to the United States of America; passed the Inflation Reduction Act to strike a dramatic blow against the climate crisis, set our planet on a sustainable trajectory forward; strengthened the Affordable Care Act, lower healthcare costs, drive down the high price of lifesaving prescription drugs for millions of Americans, including many on insulin, which will now be reduced to \$35 a month.

That is the economic record of this administration: 12 million good-paying jobs created over the last 2 years, record unemployment.

Yes, we still have challenges that we need to address as we try to emerge from this inflationary environment that has afflicted the entire world.

Oh, by the way, the United States' economy has emerged from COVID in a better position than any other devel-

oped country because of the Biden economic plan and the partnership with House Democrats and Senate Democrats.

But we have been waiting and waiting and waiting for the grand Republican plan, and here it is, the so-called REIN IN Act. Three pages. Three pages.

What does it call for? Reports. Reports. It is the grand Republican economic plan. Why? Because you have been focused on the wrong things.

Now, House Democrats, we are going to continue to invest in the American people, invest in education and job training, invest in transportation and infrastructure, invest in research and development, invest in technology and innovation, invest in the creation and preservation of affordable housing, invest in the health, the safety, the economic well-being of the American people.

That is our plan. We are going to continue to put people over politics. We get three pages calling for reports, the so-called REIN IN Act.

Here is what we should be reining in. We should be reining in the extreme MAGA Republican effort to cut Social Security.

We should rein in the extreme MAGA Republican effort to cut Medicare; rein in the extreme MAGA Republican effort to criminalize reproductive freedom and impose a nationwide ban; rein in the extreme MAGA Republican effort to crash the United States' economy and default on our debt for the first time in American history.

We should be reining in your effort to hand over sensitive security footage from the January 6 violent insurrection to an avowed conspiracy theorist. That is what we should be reining in.

A three-page plan calling for reports is not a serious effort to address the challenges facing the American people, but we will continue to be serious about putting people over politics, fighting for lower costs, fighting for better-paying jobs, fighting for safer communities, fighting for reproductive freedom, and defending our democracy at all costs.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman for his extraordinarily insightful and significant remarks.

The only exception I would take is when he referred to extreme MAGA. We actually had a colloquy about this yesterday with the good gentlewoman from Colorado.

I had gently suggested that perhaps our colleagues on the other side of the aisle could stop referring to "Democrat Congresswomen" with "Democrat plans" and "Democrat bills."

"Democrat" is a noun. The adjective is "Democratic." So it would be the "Democratic Congresswoman," the "Democratic bill," and so on.

I said it grates on our ears the same ways it would grate on your ears if every time we invoke the name of your party, we said the "banana Republican Congresswoman" or the "banana Re-

publican Member" or the "banana Republican Conference." That, we would consider a breach of civility and decorum, so would we prefer to go back to something else.

Yet, the gentlewoman from Colorado said, if I understood her correctly, that she would continue with her deliberate mispronunciation of the name of our party in its adjectival form.

By the way, she took the opportunity to raise the whole question of MAGA, which I had not mentioned. She said, and when you call me MAGA, don't call me MAGA—call me ultra-MAGA.

So when the minority leader referred to the extreme MAGA element, which appears to be driving the train over there, he should have called it the ultra-MAGA element out of deference to the gentlewoman from Colorado.

I certainly will be able to honor her wishes in the future as she chooses to be described as ultra-MAGA.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. COMER), my friend.

Mr. COMER. Mr. Chair, I rise in support of the Roy amendment. My colleague's amendment removes exceptions in the bill to the requirement that inflation impact assessments be prepared for all major executive orders.

With historic inflation created by this administration's inflationary policies, as well as the previous House majority's excessive, unnecessary spending spree, historic inflation is harming households across the Nation.

Our focus should be on doing everything we can to protect our constituents against further inflation. Extending the bill's requirement to all major executive orders is one way we can do that, and that will not unduly burden the President.

After all, the bill's requirements do not prevent any executive order from being issued. They just make sure the President is aware of the inflationary impact that his orders may threaten because I don't think my friends on the other side of the aisle realize how much these orders have impacted inflation.

So I hope that this helps stop the Bidenflation at its source by helping President Biden to see that the inflationary consequences of his actions at the time he is considering them. I urge my colleagues to vote "yes".

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chair, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining?

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. BUCSHON). The gentleman from Maryland has 2½ minutes remaining.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chair, so I believe our colleagues are coming clean. They opposed the American Rescue Act, which was absolutely essential legislation to get the country out of the Trump economic wreckage during the last administration.

We had 14.8 percent unemployment; the highest unemployment rate since the Department of Labor started keeping statistics.

Today it is down to 4 percent with the creation of 12 million new jobs under the Biden administration. So they shift the subject from unemployment, which they used to talk about, to inflation.

Well, they raised the debt limit themselves three times under Donald Trump who contributed 25 percent of the entire debt of the Nation between George Washington and Joe Biden.

Mr. Chair, 25 percent all came from the Trump administration, but they are looking for something to try to pin on Biden.

So rather than acknowledging that Putin's war in Russia and the disruption of the global supply chains caused by the coronavirus pandemic created a global inflation, and America is doing much better bringing it down than anybody else, they decide just to try to demonize and vilify Joe Biden.

Why? Because the cabinet is empty. The cupboard is bare. There are no ideas over there, as the distinguished minority leader said.

They are not offering any ideas—some reporting requirements, and they are doodling on that; should it be a billion or a million or hundred million.

Who knows? There has been no hearing on it, so they are making it up as they go along on the floor of the House. We can do much better as we did in the 117th Congress to get America moving again.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chair, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Texas has 1½ minutes remaining.

Mr. ROY. Mr. Chair, the question seems to be about we have nothing allegedly in the cupboard, nothing to offer. I think there is plenty to offer.

How about stop spending money we don't have? How about stop dumping trillions of dollars into the economy, jamming up inflation?

How about ending all of the subsidies and all of the Federal expenditures that are undermining the American people's ability to create wealth and create jobs?

The gentleman talks about the amount of debt that was increased under President Trump. How about the 43 to 45 percent of our entire debt that was increased under NANCY PELOSI as Speaker?

Because those are the actual facts, and this is the body that has the power of the purse. This is the body that starts all the spending. We know where the spending starts.

The fact of the matter is my colleagues on the other side of the aisle like to talk about creating 12 million jobs.

First of all, this body doesn't create jobs. The government doesn't create jobs. The American people create jobs.

The fact is our labor participation rate is still far behind pre-COVID levels. We are basically playing catch-up to the utter destruction that was levied against the American people by

government, against the American people, shutting down this economy, locking our kids in the corners, setting our kids back generations in terms of their academic performance, and criticizing three-page bills.

I will tell you what. It is a far cry better than the 4,100-page, \$1.7 trillion omnibus bill jammed through by the Democrats in December, destroying this economy.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chairman, it is always a pleasure to hear my friend from Texas, Mr. ROY, who mentions something which sounded like a substantive suggestion about ending corporate subsidies, or perhaps I intuited or interpreted that.

If he wants to work on legislation with me on ending corporate welfare and corporate subsidies in America, I would love to do that.

That would be a serious step in the right direction, and I would love to work with him on that.

I take it by his suggestion that we rein in spending, something that I referred to when we talked about the Republicans raising the debt limit three times under Donald Trump, they had no problem with doing it back then and creating all of this debt, and we know that the former President was spending like a drunken sailor.

I take it that by not mentioning the legislation anymore, he is basically conceding that this bill will do nothing to bring down the inflation rate. It certainly will not. I don't know if they have been able to mobilize a single economist in the country who would argue that passing this legislation will bring the inflation rate down.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

□ 1000

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ROY).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 15 OFFERED BY MR. YAKYM

The Acting CHAIR (Mr. LAWLER). It is now in order to consider amendment No. 15 printed in House Report 118-4.

Mr. YAKYM. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 2, line 3, strike "For" and insert the following:

(1) IN GENERAL.—For

Page 2, after line 14, insert the following:

(2) CPI IMPACT DISAGGREGATED.—If an Executive order is determined to have a quan-

tifiable inflationary impact on the consumer price index under subsection (a), the statement required by such subsection shall include the amount of such impact on the consumer price index in total and disaggregated by the Food, Energy, and All Items Less Food and Energy categories of the consumer price index (as such categories are determined by the Secretary of Labor in consultation with the Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor Statistics).

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 166, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. YAKYM) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Indiana.

Mr. YAKYM. Mr. Chair, my amendment is a simple one. It would require that the President's inflation analysis include not just a top-line estimate but also a breakdown to the CPI's three major subgroups: food, energy, and all items less food and energy.

Americans are navigating inflation rates not seen in generations, and their dollar isn't going far enough because wages aren't keeping up. It is no wonder that a recent Gallup poll found that 50 percent of Americans say they are worse off financially than just a year ago, and that is a level not seen since the Great Recession.

Let me be clear: We have this generational inflation thanks to 2 years of runaway spending. I will grant that the pandemic caused massive disruptions to our economy, supply chains, and our way of life. It was going to be a bumpy ride coming out of that.

However, policies like the American Rescue Plan that were rammed through Congress without a single Republican vote threw gasoline on the fire and supercharged inflation. With one hand, the government was giving away money, and with the other hand, they were taking it right back, and then some, due to inflation.

Yet, Americans have essentially been told not to believe their lying eyes. They were assured that inflation would merely be "transitory," even as it spiraled higher. They were told it was all Vladimir Putin's fault, even though energy inflation averaged just over 21 percent in 2021, the year before Russia invaded Ukraine.

Congress passed the Inflation Reduction Act—again, without a single Republican vote. The only problem with the Inflation Reduction Act is that it doesn't actually reduce inflation.

Everyday Americans' experience with inflation has made one thing abundantly clear: Not all inflation is created equal. Energy and food inflation are particularly harmful. There is no more kitchen-table issue than food inflation. There is no more readily available reminder of the toll of inflation than the price at the pump.

Energy and food inflation impact every single American and hit those living paycheck to paycheck especially hard. Seniors and others on fixed incomes have watched helplessly as costs have risen beyond their ability to keep up.

My amendment will ensure that the President keeps food and energy costs front and center before signing an executive order by breaking out the inflation analysis down to CPI's three main subgroups: food, energy, and all items less food and energy.

An overall inflation figure is not enough. Last month's inflation reading showed a 6.4 percent year-over-year rise in top-line inflation, but let's drill down one level deeper. Food inflation was 10.1 percent, and energy inflation was 8.7. This has been the story for the last 2 years. Energy inflation has outpaced overall inflation for 24 of the last 24 months, and food inflation has outpaced overall inflation for 13.

The top-line number simply doesn't tell the entire story. Drilling down one level deeper in the inflation analysis will increase transparency for the American people. It will focus attention not just on inflation but on the type of inflation.

If the President wants to sign an executive order that, for example, bans new energy production, the American people deserve to know how that order will impact energy inflation.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to support my amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chair, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Maryland is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman for introducing his amendment, which would add yet another reporting dimension to this already wasteful, bureaucratic paperwork exercise when what the American people need, deserve, and are getting from the Biden administration is real, tangible action to bring down prices in America.

What are we doing? Well, here is one of the things we are doing for older people who disproportionately depend on prescription drugs and people battling illness right now: We have dramatically lowered the cost of prescription drugs in the Medicare program for millions and millions of Americans.

We just heard someone on the other side of the aisle, the gentleman from Pennsylvania, call this price fixing. Well, here is an example of the price fixing that President Biden and the Democratic majority are engaged in. We fixed the price for people who were paying thousands of dollars for insulin shots. We fixed it by putting it down to \$35 a month, and they oppose it.

Talk about inflation? What about inflation for diabetics? They don't count? We are not interested in inflation for diabetics, just for large corporations, the people who got more than \$1 trillion in a tax cut from the last President? That is who we care about?

We don't care about millions of people who have diabetes in the country, who are spending thousands of dollars a year to pay for their insulin shots? Well, we cut that inflation down to \$35 a month, and we get a lecture from them about how that doesn't count.

The Biden administration is trying to cut hundreds of millions of dollars from people who have to pay under a staggering student loan debt today, and they are fighting us on that. They don't care about that kind of inflation. They don't care about the pocketbooks of people who are staggering under student loan debt, 43 million people. We are talking about billions of dollars. Forty-three million people will be assisted by the student loan debt executive order and initiatives taken by the Biden administration, but that doesn't count for them.

We started this series of amendments by talking about the fact that they have this self-imposed political speech impediment. They can't correctly pronounce the name of our party in its adjectival form, but I thought of a solution to this because I was reading a great book by H.W. Brands about Franklin D. Roosevelt called "Traitor to His Class." In the book, he has a bunch of Roosevelt's speeches. Do you know what President Roosevelt called our party? Not the Democratic Party, much less the Democrat Party. If you can't pronounce it, do what Roosevelt did. He called us the democracy. He said the "economic royalists," the corporate plutocrats, say if you invest in the wealthiest people in society, some of the wealth will trickle down on everybody else, but the democracy says you invest in the great working middle class of America, and we will all rise and prosper together. That is the doctrine of the democracy.

If you can't pronounce the name of our party, just call us the democracy. That is what we are today because we defend the right to vote, and we defend free and fair elections, and we stand by the results of elections.

We defend not only the country and our democratic allies all over the world, as in Ukraine, but we defend this body; we defend this Chamber; we defend the Capitol of the United States; and we defend the interests of the working majority of Americans.

The American people are not asking for more reports and more bureaucracy. They are asking for action, and that is what the Biden administration and Democrats in Congress are giving them.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. YAKYM. Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. COMER).

Mr. COMER. Mr. Chair, I rise in support of the amendment.

Perhaps no part of Bidenflation has been more painful than its impacts on food and energy prices. One can hardly imagine kitchen-table issues greater than those.

Bidenflation is causing food prices to skyrocket, as well as the prices for energy to cook it and the prices of the gasoline needed to get to the market. The list goes painfully on.

My colleague's amendment makes sure that when the President is consid-

ering major executive orders, he will be informed in a crystal-clear way of the inflationary impacts his orders may have on food and energy prices. It is my hope that will bring some relief to our constituents at their kitchen tables.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this amendment.

Mr. RASKIN. Mr. Chair, the Biden administration brought Trump's unemployment rate, which was skyrocketing, down. We went from 14.8 percent to less than 4 percent, creating 12 million new jobs.

Now, President Biden is bringing down the soaring inflation rate he inherited because of the massive disruption in global supply chains caused by the lethal recklessness of the Trump administration in mismanaging the pandemic response.

We are saying, let's finish the job. Just as we brought unemployment down, we are bringing inflation down. We are making the American economy work for the American people through strategic investments like the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, a \$1.2 trillion investment in the roads, the highways, the bridges, the ports, the airports, and broadband across the country, in rural areas.

President Biden is fighting for investment in the American people, and that is what the Democrats are fighting for, not a bunch of reports. We don't need this legislation.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. YAKYM. Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to support this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. YAKYM).

The amendment was agreed to.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 1 by Mr. BOST of Illinois.

Amendment No. 3 by Mrs. BOEBERT of Colorado.

Amendment No. 6 by Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas.

Amendment No. 7 by Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas.

Amendment No. 9 by Mrs. LEE of Nevada.

Amendment No. 14 by Mr. ROY of Texas.

The Chair will reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. BOST

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. BOST) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

## RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 324, noes 83, not voting 32, as follows:

[Roll No. 125]

## AYES—324

Aderholt	Ferguson	LaTurner
Alford	Finstad	Lawler
Allen	Fischbach	Lee (CA)
Allred	Fitzgerald	Lee (FL)
Amodei	Fitzpatrick	Lee (NV)
Armstrong	Fleischmann	Leger Fernandez
Arrington	Fletcher	Lesko
Auchincloss	Flood	Letlow
Babin	Foster	Levin
Bacon	Fox	Loudermilk
Baird	Frankel, Lois	Lucas
Balderson	Franklin, C.	Luetkemeyer
Balint	Scott	Luna
Banks	Fry	Luttrell
Barr	Fulcher	Lynch
Bean (FL)	Gaetz	Mace
Bentz	Gallagher	Magaziner
Bergman	Gallego	Malliotakis
Beyer	Garbarino	Mann
Bice	Garcia (TX)	Manning
Biggs	Garcia, Mike	Massie
Bishop (GA)	Gimenez	Mast
Bishop (NC)	Golden (ME)	Matsui
Boebert	Gonzales, Tony	McBath
Bost	Gonzalez,	McCauley
Brecheen	Vicente	McClain
Buchanan	González-Colón	McClintock
Buchson	Good (VA)	McCollum
Budzinski	Gooden (TX)	McCormick
Burchett	Gosar	Meuser
Burgess	Gotthaimer	Mfume
Burlison	Granger	Miller (IL)
Calvert	Graves (LA)	Miller (OH)
Caraveo	Graves (MO)	Miller (WV)
Carbajal	Green (TN)	Miller-Meeks
Carey	Green, Al (TX)	Mills
Carl	Greene (GA)	Molinaro
Carter (GA)	Griffith	Moolenaar
Carter (TX)	Guest	Mooney
Case	Guthrie	Moore (AL)
Castor (FL)	Hageman	Moore (UT)
Chavez-DeRemer	Harder (CA)	Moran
Ciscomani	Harris	Morrell
Cline	Harshbarger	Moskowitz
Cloud	Hern	Moulton
Clyde	Higgins (LA)	Moylan
Cole	Hill	Mullin
Collins	Himes	Murphy
Comer	Hinson	Neguse
Correa	Horsford	Newhouse
Costa	Houchin	Nickel
Courtney	Hoyer	Norcross
Craig	Hoyle (OR)	Norman
Crane	Hudson	Nunn (IA)
Crawford	Huizenga	Oberholte
Crenshaw	Hunt	Ogles
Crow	Issa	Omar
Cuellar	Jackson (NC)	Owens
Curtis	James	Palmer
D'Esposito	Johnson (LA)	Panetta
Davidson	Johnson (OH)	Pappas
Davis (NC)	Johnson (SD)	Pascarella
De La Cruz	Jordan	Payne
DeGette	Joyce (PA)	Peltola
DeLauro	Kaptur	Pence
DelBene	Kean (NJ)	Perez
DeSaulnier	Kelly (MS)	Perry
DesJarlais	Kelly (PA)	Peters
Diaz-Balart	Khanna	Pettersen
Dingell	Kiggans (VA)	Pfleger
Donalds	Kildee	Phillips
Duarte	Kiley	Pingree
Duncan	Kilmer	Plaskett
Dunn (FL)	Kim (CA)	Porter
Edwards	Kim (NJ)	Posey
Ellzey	Krishnamoorthi	Quigley
Emmer	Kuster	Radewagen
Escobar	LaHood	Reschenthaler
Eshoo	LaLota	Rodgers (WA)
Estes	LaMalfa	Rogers (KY)
Ezell	Lamborn	Rose
Fallon	Landsman	Rosendale
Feenstra	Langworthy	Ross
	Latta	Rouzer

Roy	Smucker	Torres (CA)
Ruiz	Sorensen	Turner
Ruppersberger	Soto	Valadao
Rutherford	Spartz	Van Drew
Ryan	Stansbury	Van Dуйne
Salazar	Stanton	Van Orden
Salinas	Stauber	Vasquez
Santos	Steel	Veasey
Schiff	Stefanik	Wagner
Schneider	Steil	Walberg
Scholten	Stevens	Waltz
Schrier	Stewart	Wasserman
Schweikert	Strickland	Schultz
Scott, Austin	Strong	Waters
Scott, David	Takano	Watson Coleman
Self	Tenney	Weber (TX)
Sessions	Thanedar	Webster (FL)
Sewell	Thompson (CA)	Wenstrup
Sherman	Thompson (MS)	Wexton
Sherrill	Thompson (PA)	Williams (NY)
Slotkin	Tiffany	Wilson (SC)
Smith (MO)	Timmons	Wittman
Smith (NE)	Titus	Womack
Smith (NJ)	Tokuda	Yakym
Smith (WA)	Tonko	Zinke

## NOES—83

Adams	Dean (PA)	McGarvey
Aguiar	Deluzio	McGovern
Barragán	Doggett	Meeks
Beatty	Españat	Menendez
Bera	Evans	Meng
Blumenauer	Foushee	Moore (WI)
Blunt Rochester	Frost	Nadler
Bonamici	Garamendi	Napolitano
Bowman	Garcia, Robert	Neal
Boyle (PA)	Goldman (NY)	Norton
Brown	Gomez	Ocasio-Cortez
Brownley	Grijalva	Pallone
Bush	Hayes	Pelosi
Cárdenas	Higgins (NY)	Pocan
Carson	Ivey	Pressley
Carter (LA)	Jackson (IL)	Ramirez
Cartwright	Jackson Lee	Raskin
Casas	Jacobs	Sánchez
Casten	Jayapal	Scanlon
Cerfilus-	Jeffries	Scott (VA)
McCormick	Johnson (GA)	Sykes
Chu	Kamlaager-Dove	Tlaib
Cielline	Keating	Torres (NY)
Clark (MA)	Kelly (IL)	Trahan
Clyburn	Larsen (WA)	Underwood
Cohen	Larsen (CT)	Velázquez
Connolly	Lee (PA)	Williams (GA)
Crockett	Lieu	Wilson (FL)

## NOT VOTING—32

Bilirakis	Jackson (TX)	Schakowsky
Buck	Joyce (OH)	Simpson
Cammack	Kustoff	Spanberger
Castro (TX)	Lofgren	Steube
Clarke (NY)	McHenry	Swalwell
Cleaver	Mrvan	Trone
Davis (IL)	Nehls	Vargas
Garcia (IL)	Rogers (AL)	Westerman
Grothman	Sablan	Wild
Houlihan	Sarbanes	Williams (TX)
Huffman	Scalise	

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1037

Mses. BLUNT ROCHESTER, OCASIO-CORTEZ, Messrs. LARSON of Connecticut, CARTER of Louisiana, and DOGGETT changed their vote from “aye” to “no.”

Messrs. KIM of New Jersey, KILDEE, Ms. DAVIDS of Kansas, Mr. KRISHNAMOORTHY, Ms. BALINT, Mrs. FLETCHER, Mr. SOTO, Ms. KUSTER, Messrs. THANEDAR, NORCROSS, SORENSSEN, VEASEY, TONKO, and HARDER of California changed their vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Chair, I was delayed in a meeting. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on rollcall No. 125.

Mr. JACKSON of Texas. Mr. Chair, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on rollcall No. 125.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MRS. BOEBERT

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Colorado (Mrs. BOEBERT) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

## RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 386, noes 31, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 126]

## AYES—386

Adams	Comer	Goldman (NY)
Aderholt	Correa	Gomez
Aguiar	Costa	Gonzales, Tony
Alford	Courtney	Gonzalez,
Allen	Craig	Vicente
Allred	Crane	González-Colón
Amodei	Crawford	Good (VA)
Armstrong	Crenshaw	Gooden (TX)
Auchincloss	Crow	Gosar
Babin	Cuellar	Gotthaimer
Bacon	Curtis	Granger
Baird	D'Esposito	Graves (LA)
Balderson	Davidson	Graves (MO)
Balint	Davidson	Green (TN)
Banks	Davis (NC)	Green, Al (TX)
Barr	De La Cruz	Greene (GA)
Barragán	Dean (PA)	Griffith
Bean (FL)	DeGette	Grothman
Bentz	DeLauro	Guest
Bera	DelBene	Guthrie
Bergman	Deluzio	Hageman
Beyer	DeSaulnier	Harder (CA)
Bice	DesJarlais	Harris
Biggs	Diaz-Balart	Harshbarger
Bilirakis	Dingell	Hayes
Bishop (GA)	Doggett	Hern
Bishop (NC)	Donalds	Higgins (LA)
Blunt Rochester	Duarte	Higgins (NY)
Bonamici	Duncan	Hill
Bost	Dunn (FL)	Himes
Brecheen	Edwards	Hinson
Brown	Ellzey	Horsford
Brownley	Emmer	Houchin
Buchanan	Escobar	Houlihan
Buchson	Eshoo	Hoyer
Budzinski	Españat	Hoyle (OR)
Burchett	Estes	Hudson
Burgess	Evans	Huizenga
Burlison	Ezell	Hunt
Bush	Fallon	Issa
Calvert	Feenstra	Ivey
Cammack	Ferguson	Jackson (IL)
Caraveo	Finstad	Jackson (NC)
Carbajal	Fischbach	Jackson (TX)
Cárdenas	Fitzgerald	Jackson Lee
Carey	Fitzpatrick	Jacobs
Carl	Fleischmann	James
Carson	Fletcher	Jayapal
Carter (GA)	Flood	Jeffries
Carter (LA)	Foster	Johnson (GA)
Carter (TX)	Foushee	Johnson (LA)
Cartwright	Fox	Johnson (OH)
Case	Frankel, Lois	Johnson (SD)
Casten	Franklin, C.	Jordan
Castor (FL)	Scott	Joyce (PA)
Chavez-DeRemer	Fry	Kaptur
Chu	Fulcher	Kean (NJ)
Cielline	Gaetz	Kelly (IL)
Ciscomani	Gallagher	Kelly (MS)
Clark (MA)	Gallego	Kelly (PA)
Cline	Garamendi	Khanna
Cloud	Garbarino	Kiggans (VA)
Clyde	Garcia, Mike	Kildee
Cole	Gimenez	Kiley
Collins	Golden (ME)	Kilmer

Kim (CA) Murphy  
Kim (NJ) Nadler  
Krishnamoorthi Napolitano  
Kuster Neguse  
LaHood Nehls  
LaLota Newhouse  
LaMalfa Nickel  
Lamborn Norcross  
Landsman Norman  
Langworthy Norton  
Larson (CT) Nunn (IA)  
Latta Obernolte  
LaTurner Ogles  
Lawler Owens  
Lee (CA) Pallone  
Lee (FL) Palmer  
Lee (NV) Panetta  
Leger Fernandez Pappas  
Lesko Pascarell  
Letlow Payne  
Levin Pelosi  
Lieu Peltola  
Loudermilk Pence  
Lucas Perez  
Luetkemeyer Perry  
Luna Peters  
Luttrell Petterson  
Lynch Pfluger  
Mace Phillips  
Magaziner Pingree  
Malliotakis Plaskett  
Mann Pocan  
Manning Porter  
Massie Posey  
Mast Quigley  
Matsui Radewagen  
McBath Raskin  
McCaul Trahan  
McClain Reschenthaler  
McClintock Rogers (AL)  
McCollum Rogers (KY)  
McCormick Rose  
McGarvey Rosendale  
McGovern Ross  
Meeks Rouzer  
Menendez Roy  
Meng Ruiz  
Meuser Ruppersberger  
Mfume Ryan  
Miller (IL) Salazar  
Miller (OH) Salinas  
Miller (WV) Sánchez  
Mills Santos  
Molinaro Schakowsky  
Moolenaar Schiff  
Mooney Schneider  
Moore (AL) Scholten  
Moore (UT) Schrier  
Moore (WI) Schweikert  
Moran Scott (VA)  
Morelle Scott, Austin  
Moskowitz Scott, David  
Moulton Self  
Moylan Sessions  
Mullin Sewell

## NOES—31

Beatty Crockett  
Blumenauer Frost  
Bowman Garcia (TX)  
Boyle (PA) Garcia, Robert  
Casar Grijalva  
Cherfilus-Huffman  
McCormick Kamlager-Dove  
Clarke (NY) Keating  
Clyburn Larsen (WA)  
Cohen Lee (PA)  
Connolly Mrvan

## NOT VOTING—22

Arrington Kustoff  
Boebert Lofgren  
Buck McHenry  
Castro (TX) Miller-Meeks  
Cleaver Rodgers (WA)  
Davis (IL) Sablan  
Garcia (IL) Sarbanes  
Joyce (OH) Scalise

□ 1043

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).  
There is 1 minute remaining.

Ms. WILSON of Florida and Mr. ROBERT GARCIA of California changed their vote from “aye” to “no.”

Ms. SÁNCHEZ changed her vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Ms. BOEBERT. Mr. Chair, my voting card did not register my vote. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on rollcall No. 126.

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON LEE

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

## RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 181, noes 236, not voting 22, as follows:

[Roll No. 127]

## AYES—181

Adams Gallego  
Aguilar Garamendi  
Allred Garcia (TX)  
Auchincloss Garcia, Robert  
Balint Goldman (NY)  
Barragán Gomez  
Beatty Gonzalez,  
Bera Vicente  
Beyer Green, Al (TX)  
Bishop (GA) Grijalva  
Blumenauer Harder (CA)  
Blunt Rochester Hayes  
Bonamici Higgins (NY)  
Bowman Himes  
Boyle (PA) Horsford  
Brown Houlahan  
Brownley Hoyer  
Bush Huffman  
Carbajal Ivey  
Cárdenas Jackson (NC)  
Carson Jackson Lee  
Carter (LA) Jacobs  
Cartwright Jayapal  
Casar Jeffries  
Castor (FL) Johnson (GA)  
Cherfilus-Kamllager-Dove  
McCormick Kaptur  
Chu Keating  
Cicilline Kelly (IL)  
Scanlon Khanna  
Clark (MA) Clarke (NY)  
Clarke (NY) Kilmer  
Clyburn Kim (NJ)  
Cohen Krishnamoorthi  
Connolly Landsman  
Correa Scanlon  
Costa Larsen (WA)  
Courtney Schiff  
Crow Lee (CA)  
Culler Lee (NV)  
Davis (NC) Lee (PA)  
Dean (PA) Leger Fernandez  
DeGette Lieu  
DeLauro Lynch  
DelBene Magaziner  
Deluzio Manning  
DeSaulnier Matsui  
Dingell McBath  
Doggett McCollum  
Escobar McGarvey  
Eshoo McGovern  
Espallat Meeks  
Evans Menendez  
Fletcher Meng  
Foushee Mfume  
Frankel, Lois Moore (WI)  
Frost Morelle

Tokuda  
Tonko  
Torres (CA)  
Torres (NY)  
Trahan  
Underwood

Vargas  
Veasey  
Velázquez  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Waters

Watson Coleman  
Wexton  
Williams (GA)  
Wilson (FL)

## NOES—236

Aderholt  
Alford  
Allen  
Amodei  
Armstrong  
Arrington  
Babin  
Bacon  
Baird  
Balderson  
Banks  
Barr  
Bean (FL)  
Bentz  
Bergman  
Bice  
Biggs  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (NC)  
Bost  
Brecheen  
Buchanan  
Bucshon  
Budzinski  
Burchett  
Burgess  
Burlison  
Calvert  
Cammack  
Caraveo  
Carey  
Carl  
Carter (GA)  
Carter (TX)  
Case  
Casten  
Chavez-DeRemer  
Ciscomani  
Cline  
Cloud  
Clyde  
Cole  
Collins  
Comer  
Craig  
Crane  
Crawford  
Crenshaw  
Crockett  
Curtis  
D'Esposito  
Davids (KS)  
Davidson  
De La Cruz  
DesJarlais  
Diaz-Balart  
Donalds  
Duarte  
Duncan  
Dunn (FL)  
Edwards  
Ellzey  
Emmer  
Estes  
Ezell  
Fallon  
Feenstra  
Ferguson  
Finstad  
Fischbach  
Fitzgerald  
Fitzpatrick  
Fleischmann  
Flood  
Foster  
Foxy  
Franklin, C.  
Scott  
Fry

## NOT VOTING—22

Boebert  
Buck  
Castro (TX)  
Cleaver  
Davis (IL)  
Garcia (IL)  
Hoyle (OR)  
Joyce (OH)

Kim (CA)  
Kustoff  
Lofgren  
McHenry  
Rodgers (WA)  
Sablan  
Sarbanes  
Scalise

Mills  
Molinaro  
Moolenaar  
Mooney  
Moore (AL)  
Moore (UT)  
Moran  
Moulton  
Moylan  
Murphy  
Nehls  
Newhouse  
Norman  
Nunn (IA)  
Obernolte  
Ogles  
Owens  
Palmer  
Pappas  
Pence  
Perez  
Perry  
Pfluger  
Posey  
Radewagen  
Reschenthaler  
Rogers (AL)  
Rogers (KY)  
Rose  
Rosendale  
Rouzer  
Roy  
Rutherford  
Ryan  
Salazar  
Santos  
Schneider  
Schweikert  
Scott, Austin  
Self  
Sessions  
Sewell

□ 1047

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).  
There is 1 minute remaining.  
So the amendment was rejected.  
The result of the vote was announced  
as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 7 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON  
LEE

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished  
business is the demand for a recorded  
vote on the amendment offered by the  
gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON  
LEE) on which further proceedings were  
postponed and on which the noes pre-  
vailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the  
amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amend-  
ment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote  
has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-  
minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic de-  
vice, and there were—ayes 187, noes 232,  
not voting 20, as follows:

[Roll No. 128]

AYES—187

Adams	Frankel, Lois	Mfume
Aguilar	Frost	Moore (WI)
Allred	Gallego	Morelle
Auchincloss	Garamendi	Moskowitz
Balint	Garcia (TX)	Mrvan
Barragán	Garcia, Robert	Mullin
Beatty	Goldman (NY)	Nadler
Bera	Gomez	Napolitano
Beyer	Gonzalez,	Neal
Bishop (GA)	Vicente	Neguse
Blumenauer	Green, Al (TX)	Nickel
Blunt Rochester	Harder (CA)	Norcross
Bonamici	Hayes	Norton
Bowman	Higgins (NY)	Ocasio-Cortez
Boyle (PA)	Himes	Omar
Brown	Horsford	Pallone
Brownley	Houlihan	Panetta
Bush	Hoyer	Pascarell
Carbajal	Hoyle (OR)	Payne
Cárdenas	Huffman	Pelosi
Carson	Ivey	Peters
Carter (LA)	Jackson (IL)	Pettersen
Carterwright	Jackson (NC)	Phillips
Casar	Jackson Lee	Pingree
Castor (FL)	Jacobs	Plaskett
Cherfilus-	Jayapal	Pocan
McCormick	Jeffries	Porter
Chu	Johnson (GA)	Pressley
Cicilline	Kamlager-Dove	Quigley
Clark (MA)	Kaptur	Ramirez
Clarke (NY)	Keating	Raskin
Clyburn	Kelly (IL)	Ross
Cohen	Khanna	Ruiz
Connolly	Kildee	Ruppersberger
Correa	Kilmer	Salinas
Costa	Kim (NJ)	Scanlon
Courtney	Krishnamoorthi	Schakowsky
Craig	Kuster	Schiff
Crockett	Landsman	Scholten
Crow	Larsen (WA)	Schrier
Cuellar	Larson (CT)	Scott (VA)
Davids (KS)	Lee (CA)	Scott, David
Davis (NC)	Lee (NV)	Sewell
Dean (PA)	Lee (PA)	Sherman
DeGette	Leger Fernandez	Slotkin
DeLauro	Levin	Smith (WA)
DelBene	Lieu	Sorensen
Deluzio	Lynch	Soto
DeSaulnier	Magaziner	Stansbury
Dingell	Manning	Stevens
Doggett	Matsui	Strickland
Escobar	McBath	Sykes
Eshoo	McCollum	Takano
Espallat	McGarvey	Thanedar
Evans	McGovern	Thompson (CA)
Fletcher	Meeks	Thompson (MS)
Foster	Menendez	Titus
Foushee	Meng	Tlaib

Tokuda  
Tonko  
Torres (CA)  
Torres (NY)  
Trahan  
Underwood

Vargas  
Veasey  
Velázquez  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Waters

Watson Coleman  
Wexton  
Williams (GA)  
Wilson (FL)

□ 1050

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).  
There is 1 minute remaining.  
So the amendment was rejected.  
The result of the vote was announced  
as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mrs. MILLER of Illinois. Mr. Chair, had I  
been present, I would have voted “no” on roll-  
call no. 128.

Ms. PEREZ. Mr. Chair, had I been present,  
I would have voted “no” on rollcall No. 128.

AMENDMENT NO. 9 OFFERED BY MRS. LEE OF  
NEVADA

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished  
business is the demand for a recorded  
vote on the amendment offered by the  
gentlewoman from Nevada (Mrs. LEE)  
on which further proceedings were  
postponed and on which the ayes pre-  
vailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the  
amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amend-  
ment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote  
has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-  
minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic de-  
vice, and there were—ayes 364, noes 56,  
not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 129]

AYES—364

Adams	Casar	Ezell
Aderholt	Case	Feenstra
Aguilar	Casten	Ferguson
Alford	Castor (FL)	Finstad
Allen	Chavez-DeRemer	Fischbach
Allred	Cherfilus-	Fitzgerald
Amodei	McCormick	Fitzpatrick
Armstrong	Chu	Fleischmann
Arrington	Cicilline	Fletcher
Auchincloss	Ciscomani	Flood
Bacon	Clark (MA)	Foster
Baird	Clarke (NY)	Foushee
Balderson	Cloud	Fox
Balint	Clyburn	Frankel, Lois
Banks	Cohen	Frost
Barr	Cole	Gallagher
Barragán	Comer	Gallego
Bean (FL)	Connolly	Garamendi
Beatty	Correa	Garbarino
Bentz	Costa	Garcia (TX)
Bera	Courtney	Garcia, Mike
Bergman	Craig	Garcia, Robert
Beyer	Crawford	Golden (ME)
Bice	Crenshaw	Goldman (NY)
Bilirakis	Crockett	Gomez
Bishop (GA)	Crow	Gonzales, Tony
Blumenauer	Cuellar	Gonzalez,
Blunt Rochester	Curtis	Vicente
Bonamici	D'Esposito	González-Colón
Bost	Davids (KS)	Gooden (TX)
Bowman	Davis (NC)	Gottheimer
Boyle (PA)	De La Cruz	Granger
Brown	Dean (PA)	Graves (LA)
Brownley	DeGette	Graves (MO)
Buchanan	DeLauro	Green, Al (TX)
Budzinski	DelBene	Grijalva
Burgess	Deluzio	Grothman
Bush	DeSaulnier	Guest
Calvert	Diaz-Balart	Guthrie
Cammack	Dingell	Harder (CA)
Caraveo	Doggett	Harshbarger
Carbajal	Duarte	Hayes
Cárdenas	Dunn (FL)	Higgins (NY)
Carey	Edwards	Hill
Carl	Ellzey	Himes
Carson	Escobar	Hinson
Carter (GA)	Eshoo	Horsford
Carter (LA)	Espallat	Houchin
Carter (TX)	Estes	Houlihan
Cartwright	Evans	Hoyer

NOES—232

Aderholt  
Alford  
Allen  
Amodei  
Armstrong  
Arrington  
Babin  
Bacon  
Baird  
Balderson  
Banks  
Barr  
Bean (FL)  
Bentz  
Bergman  
Bice  
Biggs  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (NC)  
Boebert  
Bost  
Brecheen  
Buchanan  
Bucshon  
Budzinski  
Burchett  
Burgess  
Burlison  
Calvert  
Cammack  
Caraveo  
Carey  
Carl  
Carter (GA)  
Carter (TX)  
Case  
Casten  
Chavez-DeRemer  
Ciscomani  
Cline  
Cloud  
Clyde  
Cole  
Collins  
Coyler  
Crane  
Crawford  
Crenshaw  
Curtis  
D'Esposito  
Davidson  
De La Cruz  
DesJarlais  
Diaz-Balart  
Donalds  
Duarte  
Duncan  
Dunn (FL)  
Edwards  
Ellzey  
Emmer  
Estes  
Ezell  
Fallon  
Feenstra  
Ferguson  
Finstad  
Fischbach  
Fitzgerald  
Fitzpatrick  
Fleischmann  
Flood  
Flood  
Flood  
Franklin, C.  
Scott  
Fry  
Fulcher  
Gaetz

NOT VOTING—20

Buck  
Castro (TX)  
Cleaver  
Davis (IL)  
Garcia (IL)  
Joyce (OH)  
Kustoff

Gallagher  
Garbarino  
Garcia, Mike  
Gimenez  
Golden (ME)  
Gonzales, Tony  
González-Colón  
Good (VA)  
Gooden (TX)  
Gosar  
Gottheimer  
Granger  
Graves (LA)  
Graves (MO)  
Green (TN)  
Greene (GA)  
Griffith  
Grijalva  
Grothman  
Guest  
Guthrie  
Hageman  
Harris  
Harshbarger  
Hern  
Higgins (LA)  
Hill  
Hinson  
Houchin  
Hudson  
Huizenga  
Hunt  
Issa  
Jackson (TX)  
James  
Johnson (LA)  
Johnson (OH)  
Johnson (SD)  
Jordan  
Joyce (PA)  
Sherrill  
Kean (NJ)  
Kelly (MS)  
Kelly (PA)  
Kiggans (VA)  
Kiley  
Kim (CA)  
LaHood  
LaLota  
LaMalfa  
Lamborn  
Langworthy  
Latta  
LaTurner  
Lawler  
Lee (FL)  
Lesko  
Letlow  
Loudermilk  
Lucas  
Luetkemeyer  
Luna  
Luttrell  
Mace  
Malliotakis  
Mann  
Massie  
Mast  
McCauley  
McClain  
McClintock  
McCormick  
Meuser  
Miller (OH)  
Miller (WV)  
Miller-Meeks  
Mills  
Molinaro  
Moolenaar

Mooney  
Moore (AL)  
Moore (UT)  
Moran  
Moulton  
Moylan  
Murphy  
Nehls  
Newhouse  
Norman  
Nunn (IA)  
Oberholte  
Ogles  
Owens  
Palmer  
Pappas  
Pence  
Perry  
Pfluger  
Posey  
Radewagen  
Reschenthaler  
Rodgers (WA)  
Rogers (AL)  
Rogers (KY)  
Rose  
Rosendale  
Rouzer  
Roy  
Rutherford  
Ryan  
Salazar  
Santos  
Scalise  
Schneider  
Schweikert  
Scott, Austin  
Self  
Sessions  
Sherrill  
Simpson  
Smith (MO)  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (NJ)  
Smucker  
Spanberger  
Spartz  
Stanton  
Staubert  
Steel  
Stefanik  
Steil  
Stewart  
Strong  
Tenney  
Thompson (PA)  
Tiffany  
Timmons  
Turner  
Valadao  
Van Drew  
Van Dwyne  
Van Orden  
Vasquez  
Wagner  
Walberg  
Waltz  
Weber (TX)  
Webster (FL)  
Wenstrup  
Westerman  
Williams (NY)  
Wilson (SC)  
Wittman  
Womack  
Yakym  
Zinke

Sarbanes  
Steube  
Swalwell  
Trone  
Wild  
Williams (TX)

Wyle (OR)	Meuser	Schrie	Kim (CA)	Sánchez	Trone	Scalise	Steil	Walberg
Hudson	Mfume	Scott (VA)	Kustoff	Sarbanes	Wild	Schweikert	Stewart	Waltz
Huffman	Miller (OH)	Scott, Austin	Lofgren	Sessions	Williams (TX)	Scott, Austin	Strong	Weber (TX)
Huizenga	Miller (WV)	Scott, David	McHenry	Steube		Self	Tenney	Wenstrup
Hunt	Miller-Meeks	Self	Sablan	Swalwell		Sessions	Thompson (PA)	Westerman
Issa	Molinaro	Sewell				Simpson	Tiffany	Williams (NY)
Ivey	Moolenaar	Sherman				Smith (MO)	Timmons	Wilson (SC)
Jackson (IL)	Mooney	Sherrill				Smith (NE)	Turner	Wittman
Jackson (NC)	Moore (UT)	Simpson				Smucker	Valadao	Womack
Jackson Lee	Moore (WI)	Slotkin				Spartz	Van Dyne	Yakym
Jacobs	Morelle	Smith (MO)				Stauber	Van Orden	Zinke
James	Moskowitz	Smith (NE)				Stefanik	Wagner	
Jayapal	Moulton	Smith (NJ)						
Jeffries	Moylan	Smith (WA)						
Johnson (GA)	Mrvan	Smucker						
Johnson (OH)	Mullin	Sorensen						
Johnson (SD)	Murphy	Soto						
Jordan	Nadler	Spanberger						
Joyce (PA)	Napolitano	Spartz						
Kamlager-Dove	Neal	Stansbury						
Kaptur	Neguse	Stanton						
Kean (NJ)	Newhouse	Stauber						
Keating	Nickel	Steel						
Kelly (IL)	Norcross	Stefanik						
Kelly (PA)	Norman	Steil						
Khan	Norton	Stevens						
Kiggans (VA)	Nunn (IA)	Stewart						
Kildee	Obenrolte	Strickland						
Kiley	Ocasio-Cortez	Strong						
Kilmer	Omar	Sykes						
Kim (NJ)	Owens	Takano						
Krishnamoorthi	Pallone	Thanedar						
Kuster	Palmer	Thompson (CA)						
LaHood	Panetta	Thompson (MS)						
LaLota	Pappas	Timmons						
LaMalfa	Pascarell	Titus						
Lamborn	Payne	Tlaib						
Landsman	Pelosi	Tokuda						
Langworthy	Peltola	Tonko						
Larsen (WA)	Perez	Torres (CA)						
Larson (CT)	Peters	Torres (NY)						
Latta	Pettersen	Trahan						
LaTurner	Pfluger	Turner						
Lawler	Phillips	Underwood						
Lee (CA)	Pingree	Valadao						
Lee (FL)	Plaskett	Van Drew						
Lee (NV)	Pocan	Van Dyne						
Lee (PA)	Porter	Vargas						
Leger Fernandez	Pressley	Vasquez						
Lesko	Quigley	Veasey						
Letlow	Radewagen	Velázquez						
Levin	Ramirez	Wagner						
Lieu	Raskin	Rodgers (WA)						
Lucas	Reschenthaler	Rogers (AL)						
Luetkemeyer	Rogers (WA)	Waltz						
Lynch	Rogers (KY)	Wasserman						
Mace	Rose	Schultz						
Magaziner	Ross	Waters						
Malliotakis	Rouzer	Watson Coleman						
Mann	Ruiz	Weber (TX)						
Manning	Ruiz	Webster (FL)						
Mast	Ruppersberger	Wenstrup						
Matsui	Rutherford	Westerman						
McBath	Ryan	Wexton						
McCauley	Salazar	Williams (GA)						
McClain	Salinas	Williams (NY)						
McClintock	Santos	Wilson (FL)						
McCollum	Scalise	Wilson (SC)						
McGarvey	Scanlon	Womack						
McGovern	Schakowsky	Yakym						
Meeks	Schiff	Zinke						
Menendez	Schneider							
Meng	Scholten							

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).  
There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1104

Ms. CROCKETT changed her vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. MCCORMICK. Mr. Chair, I missed rollcall 130 due to distraction. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on rollcall No. 130.

The Acting CHAIR. The Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union has had under consideration H.R. 347, and pursuant to House Resolution 166, I report the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Ms. MALLIOTAKIS) having assumed the chair, Mr. LAWLER, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 347) to require the Executive Office of the President to provide an inflation estimate with respect to Executive orders with a significant effect on the annual gross budget, and for other purposes, and, pursuant to House Resolution 166, he reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The question is on the amendments.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. RASKIN. Madam Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 272, noes 148, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 131]

AYES—272

Aderholt	Bacon	Bera
Alford	Baird	Bergman
Allen	Balderson	Bice
Amodei	Banks	Bilirakis
Armstrong	Barr	Bishop (NC)
Arrington	Bean (FL)	Boebert
Babin	Bentz	Bost

Brecheen	Grothman	Murphy	DeLauro	Kildee	Raskin
Brownley	Guest	Nehls	DelBene	Larsen (WA)	Rosendale
Buchanan	Guthrie	Newhouse	Deluzio	Larson (CT)	Ross
Bucshon	Hageman	Nickel	DeSaulnier	Lee (CA)	Roy
Budzinski	Harder (CA)	Norman	Dingell	Lee (PA)	Ruppersberger
Burchett	Harris	Nunn (IA)	Doggett	Lieu	Sánchez
Burgess	Harshbarger	Obornolte	Escobar	Lynch	Scanlon
Burlison	Hern	Ogles	Eshoo	Matsui	Schakowsky
Calvert	Higgins (LA)	Owens	Espallat	McBath	Schiff
Cammack	Hill	Palmer	Evans	McCollum	Schneider
Caraveo	Himes	Pappas	Fletcher	McGarvey	Scott (VA)
Carey	Hinson	Peltola	Foushee	McGovern	Scott, David
Carl	Houchin	Pence	Frankel, Lois	Meeks	Sewell
Carson	Houlahan	Perez	Frost	Menendez	Sherman
Carter (GA)	Hoyle (OR)	Perry	Gallego	Meng	Smith (WA)
Carter (TX)	Hudson	Pettersen	Garamendi	Mfume	Stansbury
Case	Huizenga	Pfluger	Garcia (TX)	Moore (WI)	Stevens
Chavez-DeRemer	Hunt	Phillips	Garcia, Robert	Moulton	Strickland
Cicilline	Issa	Posey	Goldman (NY)	Mrvan	Sykes
Ciscomani	Jackson (NC)	Quigley	Gomez	Mullin	Takano
Cline	Jackson (TX)	Reschenthaler	Good (VA)	Nadler	Thanedar
Cloud	James	Rodgers (WA)	Grijalva	Napolitano	Thompson (CA)
Clyde	Johnson (LA)	Rogers (AL)	Hayes	Neal	Thompson (MS)
Cole	Johnson (OH)	Rogers (KY)	Higgins (NY)	Neguse	Tlaib
Collins	Johnson (SD)	Rose	Horsford	Norcross	Tokuda
Comer	Jordan	Rouzer	Hoyer	Ocasio-Cortez	Torres (CA)
Correa	Joyce (PA)	Ruiz	Huffman	Omar	Torres (NY)
Costa	Kaptur	Rutherford	Ivey	Pallone	Trahan
Courtney	Kean (NJ)	Ryan	Jackson (IL)	Panetta	Underwood
Craig	Kelly (MS)	Salazar	Jackson Lee	Pascarell	Vargas
Crane	Kelly (PA)	Salinas	Jacobs	Payne	Veasey
Crawford	Kiggans (VA)	Santos	Jayapal	Pelosi	Velázquez
Crenshaw	Kiley	Scalise	Jeffries	Peters	Wasserman
Cuellar	Kilmer	Scholten	Johnson (GA)	Pingree	Schultz
Curtis	Kim (CA)	Schrier	Kamlager-Dove	Pocan	Waters
D'Esposito	Kim (NJ)	Schweikert	Keating	Porter	Watson Coleman
Dauids (KS)	Krishnamoorthi	Scott, Austin	Kelly (IL)	Pressley	Williams (GA)
Davidson	Kuster	Self	Khanna	Ramirez	Wilson (FL)
Davis (NC)	LaHood	Sessions			
De La Cruz	LaLota	Sherrill	Buck	Joyce (OH)	Swalwell
DesJarlais	LaMalfa	Simpson	Castro (TX)	Kustoff	Wexton
Diaz-Balart	Lamborn	Slotkin	Cleaver	Loifgren	Wild
Donalds	Landsman	Smith (MO)	Davis (IL)	Sarbanes	Williams (TX)
Duarte	Langworthy	Smith (NE)	Garcia (IL)	Steube	
Duncan	Latta	Smith (NJ)			
Dunn (FL)	LaTurner	Smucker			
Edwards	Lawler	Sorensen			
Elizy	Lee (FL)	Soto			
Emmer	Lee (NV)	Spanberger			
Estes	Leger Fernandez	Spartz			
Ezell	Lesko	Stanton			
Fallon	Letlow	Levin			
Feenstra	Mace	Loudermilk			
Ferguson	Magaziner	Lucas			
Finstad	Malliotakis	Luetkemeyer			
Fischbach	Mann	Luna			
Fitzgerald	Manning	Luttrell			
Fitzpatrick	Massie	Mace			
Fleischmann	Mast	Magaziner			
Flood	McCarthy	Malliotakis			
Foster	McCauley	Mann			
Fox	McClain	Manning			
Franklin, C.	McClintock	Massie			
Scott	McCormick	Mast			
Fry	McHenry	McCauley			
Fulcher	Meuser	McClain			
Gaetz	Miller (IL)	McClintock			
Gallagher	Miller (OH)	McCormick			
Gallagher	Miller (WV)	McHenry			
Garbarino	Miller-Meeks	Meuser			
Garcia, Mike	Mills	Miller (IL)			
Gimenez	Molinaro	Miller (OH)			
Golden (ME)	Moolenaar	Miller (WV)			
Gonzales, Tony	Mooney	Miller-Meeks			
Gonzalez,	Moore (AL)	Mills			
Vicente	Moore (UT)	Molinaro			
Gooden (TX)	Moran	Moolenaar			
Gosar	Morelle	Mooney			
Gottheimer	Moskowitz	Moore (AL)			
Granger		Moore (UT)			
Graves (LA)		Moran			
Graves (MO)		Morelle			
Green (TN)		Moskowitz			
Green, Al (TX)					
Greene (GA)					
Griffith					

NOES—148

Adams	Bonamici	Cherfilus-
Aguilar	Bowman	McCormick
Allred	Boyle (PA)	Chu
Auchincloss	Brown	Clark (MA)
Balint	Bush	Clarke (NY)
Barragán	Carbajal	Clyburn
Beatty	Cárdenas	Cohen
Beyer	Carter (LA)	Connolly
Biggs	Cartwright	Crockett
Bishop (GA)	Casas	Crow
Blumenauer	Casten	Dean (PA)
Blunt Rochester	Castor (FL)	DeGette

Kildee	Raskin
Larsen (WA)	Rosendale
Larson (CT)	Ross
Lee (CA)	Roy
Lee (PA)	Ruppersberger
Lieu	Sánchez
Lynch	Scanlon
Matsui	Schakowsky
McBath	Schiff
McCollum	Schneider
McGarvey	Scott (VA)
McGovern	Scott, David
Meeks	Sewell
Menendez	Sherman
Meng	Smith (WA)
Mfume	Stansbury
Moore (WI)	Stevens
Moulton	Strickland
Mrvan	Sykes
Mullin	Takano
Nadler	Thanedar
Napolitano	Thompson (CA)
Neal	Thompson (MS)
Neguse	Tlaib
Norcross	Tokuda
Ocasio-Cortez	Torres (CA)
Omar	Torres (NY)
Pallone	Trahan
Panetta	Underwood
Pascarell	Vargas
Payne	Veasey
Pelosi	Velázquez
Peters	Wasserman
Pingree	Schultz
Pocan	Waters
Porter	Watson Coleman
Pressley	Williams (GA)
Ramirez	Wilson (FL)

NOT VOTING—14

Buck	Joyce (OH)	Swalwell
Castro (TX)	Kustoff	Wexton
Cleaver	Loifgren	Wild
Davis (IL)	Sarbanes	Williams (TX)
Garcia (IL)	Steube	

□ 1114

Mr. SOTO changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. JOYCE of Ohio. Madam Speaker, I regrettably missed rollcall No. 131. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on rollcall No. 131.

Stated against:

Ms. WEXTON. Madam Speaker, had I been present, I would have voted “no” on rollcall No. 131.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. SARBANES. Madam Speaker, due to testing positive for COVID-19 and following recommended isolation protocols, I was unable to vote. Had I been present, I would have voted “aye” on rollcall No. 125, “aye” on rollcall No. 126, “aye” on rollcall No. 127, “aye” on rollcall No. 128, “aye” on rollcall No. 129, “no” on rollcall No. 130 and “no” on rollcall No. 131.

ADJOURNMENT FROM WEDNESDAY, MARCH 1, 2023, TO FRIDAY, MARCH 3, 2023

Mr. JAMES. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 9 a.m. on Friday, March 3, 2023.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.